1	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP James G. Gilliland, Jr. (State Bar No. 107988)		
2	Gregory S. Gilchrist (State Bar No. 111536) Timothy R. Cahn (State Bar No.162136) Elizabeth R. Gosse (State Bar No. 215494) Two Embarcadero Center, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 576-0200 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300 Email: jggilliland@townsend.com, gsgilchrist@townsend.com; trcahn@townsend.com; ergosse@townsend.com		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Karen Scarr (State Bar No. 172378)		
8	ORACLE USA, INC. 500 Oracle Parkway, M.S. 5OP7 Redwood City, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 506-5200 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114		
9			
10			
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants ORACLE USA, INC. and ORACLE		
12	INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	Case No. C-04-5145 CRB	
17	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	STIPULATED MOTION TO	
18	Plaintiffs,	SUBSTITUTE PARTY; ORDER	
19	V.		
20	ORACLE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS, LTD., a Maryland corporation,		
21	Defendant.		
22			
23	ORACLE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS, LTD., a Maryland corporation,		
24	Counterplaintiff,		
25	V.		
26	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation,		
27			
- 1	and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL		
28			

Because Oracle USA, Ir	ac. has become the main operating entity in the United States rather
than Oracle Corporation, the parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate that	
Oracle Corporation be substituted by Oracle USA, Inc., a Colorado corporation, as a plaintiff and	
counterdefendant in the above-entitled action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 25(c). Plaintiffs and	
counterdefendants agree that they will not use the non-party status of Oracle Corporation as a basis for	
objecting to discovery which m	ay be relevant.
SO STIPULATED:	
DATED: June 30, 2005	Respectfully submitted,
	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
	D /a/
	By: /s/ Gregory S. Gilchrist
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants ORACLE USA, INC. and ORACLE
	INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION
DATED: June, 2005	KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
	By: Michael Sarney
	·
	OWEN, WICKERSHAM & ERICKSON, P.C.
	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterplaintiff ORACLE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS, LTD.
	TES DISTRICT
SO ORDERED:	APPROVED
DATED: <u>July 01</u> , 2005	
	United States District Land Charles R. Breyer
STIPULATED MOTION TO SUBST	TTUTE PARTY 1 Oracle Corp. v. Oracle Systems, Ltd.

Case No. C-04-05145 CRB